Variation of the gene expression profiles of Campylobacter spp. in response to heat stress

Christoph Püning¹, Carolin Riedel², Tassilo Seidler¹, Thomas Alter², Greta Gölz² ¹Beuth University of Applied Sciences, Luxemburger Straße 10, 13353 Berlin; ²Institute of Food Safety and Hygiene, Freie Universität Berlin, Königsweg 69, 14163 Berlin





Introduction

The high susceptibility of *Campylobacter* (C.) spp. to several stressors might be linked to the lack of typical stress response mechanisms described for other bacteria. Nevertheless, Campylobacter spp. are able to overcome barriers along the food chain. While data for *C. jejuni* heat stress response mechanisms exist, information for *C. coli* and C. lari are sparse. As C. jejuni showed prolonged survival at 46 °C compared to C. coli and C. lari, the gene expression profiles of common heat shock genes and a variety of other genes - involved in different mechanisms - of the three species were investigated. Therefore the mRNA levels were determined via RT-qPCR over a 60 min time course during heat stress at 46 °C.

Material and Methods

C. jejuni NCTC 11168, C. coli RM2228 and C. lari RM2100 were precultivated in Brucella-Boullion (BB) under microaerobic conditions for 24 h at 37 °C. The main cultures were incubated under same conditions, harvested at late exponential growth phase ($OD_{600} =$ 0.1 – 0.2) and resuspended in fresh BB medium preconditioned to 46 °C and 37 °C, respectively.

The regulation of gene expression in response to heat stress resulted in maximal fold changes between 1.6 log₂ (*hspR*) and 3.2 log₂ (*clpB*, *hrcA*) for *C. lari*. While no regulation of *dnaJ*, *htpG* and *htrA* expression has been determined, the expression of *hsIU* and *hsIV* was down regulated (Fig. 3).



Total RNA was extracted after 5, 15, 30, 45 and 60 min of heat shock, using the peqGold bacterial RNA Kit (Peqlab). Afterwards the cDNA was synthesized, using the RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit and random hexamer primers (Fermentas).

RT-qPCR (CFX96 Real-time system, Bio-Rad) was performed with SsoFast EvaGreen Supermix (Bio-Rad). Gene expression was normalized to *thiC* and *rpoA* and log₂ fold changes (fc) calculated compared to 37 °C. Primers were obtained from literature or designed with primer3-software (<u>http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/</u>).¹

Clustering of the genes was calculated by the software Genesis (10.000 iterations, 4 clusters).

Results

Gene expression of most heat shock associated genes in C. jejuni were mostly upregulated and with maxima from 3.2 log₂ fc (*hspR*) to 6.2 log₂ fc (*clpB*). Expression of *dnaJ* and *hsIV* displays only a minimal upregulation, while *htpG* and *hsIU* seemed to be unregulated (Fig.1).

5

15

30

— 45



Figure 3: Expression levels of heat shock associated genes in C. lari RM2100, shown as log₂ fold change, analyzed by RT-qPCR following a temperature increase from 37 °C to 46 °C (median ± upper and lower limit, n=3).





Figure 1: Expression levels of heat shock associated genes in *C. jejuni* NCTC 11186, shown as log₂ fold change, analyzed by RT-qPCR following a temperature increase from 37 °C to 46 °C (median ± upper and lower limit, n=3).

The fold changes of gene expression in C. coli reached higher levels, with 2.0 \log_2 fc (hs/V) and 8.3 log₂ fc (hrcA), compared to C. jejuni. However, expression of dnaJ and hs/U was only slightly upregulated, whereas expression of *htpG* was not regulated (Fig. 2).



Figure 4: Expression patterns of genes in C. jejuni (A), C. coli (B) and C. lari (C), shown as log₂ fold change over time, analyzed by RT-qPCR following a temperature increase from 37 °C to 46 °C and clustered with genesis software (mean \pm SEM).

Figure 2: Expression levels of heat shock associated genes in C. coli RM2228, shown as log₂ fold change, analyzed by RT-qPCR following a temperature increase from 37 °C to 46 °C (median ± upper and lower limit, n=3).

Conclusion

Even though differences in the intensity and the time course of regulation of heat shock associated genes could be determined between the three species, the tendency of up and down regulation of orthologous genes was mostly comparable.

Therefor, the expression of heat shock genes could not explain the difference observed in phenotypic heat stress tolerance.

As higher gene expression levels exhaust a larger quantity of energy, the intermediate changes determined for *C. jejuni* might reflect a more efficient usage of energy compared to C. coli.

However, other factors seem to be responsible for the different heat stress tolerance of C. *jejuni* compared to *C. coli* and *C. lari*.

¹ Riedel C. (2015): Untersuchungen zur Stressantwort ausgewählter Campylobacter (C.) jejuni-, C. coli- und C. lari-Stämme Berlin: Mensch und Buch Verlag.



Institute of Food Safety and Hygiene • FU Berlin • Königsweg 69 • 14163 Berlin • Tel. +49 30 - 838 - 62550 • Fax 49 30 - 838 - 62552 • www.vetmed.fu-berlin.de